INTRODUCTION

Tuberculosis (TB) is a widespread disease that affects many living creatures including wildlife, livestock and humans round the globe.\(^1\) TB is the disease of poor which is caused by *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* and a study revealed that it is seasonal.\(^2\) Globally TB is one of the leading causes of mortalities, especially in adult population.\(^3\) In some regions it’s prevalence is high in males (e.g., India) while in some areas it is prevailing more in females (e.g., Pakistan).\(^4\) Nevertheless, 60% of total TB cases are reported in India, Indonesia, China, Nigeria, Pakistan and South Africa.\(^5\) In heavy TB burden regions like Indonesia TB kills 91,000 people each year which is approximately 7.1% of total deaths.\(^6\) In some regions co-morbidities like human immune deficiency virus (HIV) infection is also associated with TB which latter on became epidemic.\(^7\)

On the other hand, it can be preventable. Active lung TB is characterized by cough with sputum and blood in times, weight loss, fever and weakness, etc. The bacilli of TB disperse in air and upon reaching to the alveoli of host, it is attacked by alveolar macrophages and if this causative agent survives from attack then it replicates and diffuses to neighboring cells.\(^8\) Active TB occurs when dynamic equilibrium between host immune system and latent tuberculosis infection (LTBI) breaks.\(^9\) A standard 6 months of therapy is required for the management of active and drug susceptible TB.\(^10\) World Health Organization (WHO), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and International Union Against Tuberculosis and Lung Disease (IUATLD) have been are working on TB since 1994. Three important global health strategies are scaled up by WHO that are: directly observed therapy short course (DOTS), Stop TB and End TB.\(^11\) Fixed-dose combination (FDC) is used in cancer and many neurological disorders\(^12\) to lessen the pill burden and increase patient’s adherence.\(^13\)

In 1999, WHO included FDC in essential drugs list for TB.\(^14\)\(^15\) The main aim of WHO is to lessen the mortality rate to 95% and emergence of new TB cases by 90% between 2015 and 2035.\(^16\) A list of advantages associated with FDC is given in Box 1. According to food and drug administration, FDC is "a product composed of any combination of a drug and a device or a biological product and a device or a drug and a biological product or a drug, device, and a biological product".\(^17\) The current review is subjected to evaluate the need of FDC, scenarios where single formulation (SF) is preferred and the possible disadvantages associated with the treatment of active TB using FDC.

METHODS

We explored databases (PubMed, Google Scholar, Scopus, ScienceDirect, Embase, ProQuest, EconLit, etc.) from 2010 to February 2017 with these keywords: “fixed dose combination”, “tuberculosis”, “disadvantages” and “adverse drug reactions”, together with names of anti-TB drugs in diverse combinations with BOOLEAN and MeSH search. Further publications were recognized by a manual search of the bibliography and reference section of related papers. Of 204 articles found initially, 143 were selected for further review. Of 143 articles, 72 were finally selected [Figure 1].

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Pharmacokinetics of rifampicin

As absorption affects the bioavailability of product, therefore, bioavailability of rifampicin is apocryphal in FDC when compared with SF. Rifampicin being an essential part of FDC, the lower bioavailability of it will cause drug to be less efficacious, therapy failure as well as drug resistance. The suspected factors for lowering of bioavailability are...
If rifampicin is co-administrated with isoniazid, pyrazinamide and ethambutol, it was concluded that when the efficacy of FDC is contentious due to decline rifampicin should only be used after bioavailability testing. In 3-FDC and strongly suggested that rifampicin containing FDC products. The results predicted the inferior bioavailability of rifampicin Mexican health care system using 3-FDC in comparison of reference curve (AUC) in children there is need of 15 mg/kg dose increment. Compared with adults and in order to achieve the desired area under bioavailability of rifampicin is comparatively low in children when 65 years, and those having HIV or risk of HIV were excluded. Relative compartment model approach to determine the population pharmacokinetic of adults and children suffering from TB. Candidates were selected and dosed according to the age and weight, as recommended by WHO. In this one compartment model approach, based on first order absorption, study was conducted on total of 165 patients, among them 115 were adults and 50 were children of 4.8 to 87 kg having age between 7 months to 85 years, and those having HIV or risk of HIV were excluded. Relative bioavailability of rifampicin is comparatively low in children when compared with adults and in order to achieve the desired area under the curve (AUC) in children there is need of 15 mg/kg dose increment. So, it was concluded that the WHO recommendations cannot be achievable with currently licensed FDC regimen for TB. [28]

A two open label, randomized crossover study was conducted on eighteen and twenty healthy Chinese male, with exclusion of patients having drug related allergy or any metabolic disease or drug intake 2 weeks prior to this study, in order to determine relative bioavailability of one 4-drug FDC (4-FDC) and three 2-drug FDC (2-FDC) compared with rifampicin in SF. [29] The three 2-FDC showed lower maximum concentration (Cmax) value and dissolution profile than the SF. So, lower bioavailability and bioequivalence of three 2-FDC in comparison of SF emphasize the need of bioequivalent and bioavailability study of FDC on strict criteria prior to market.

One such study was conducted in South East African country “Malawi” to determine the population pharmacokinetic of adults and children suffering from TB. Candidates were selected and dosed according to their age and weight, as recommended by WHO. In this one compartment model approach, based on first order absorption, study was conducted on total of 165 patients, among them 115 were adults and 50 were children of 4.8 to 87 kg having age between 7 months to 65 years, and those having HIV or risk of HIV were excluded. Relative bioavailability of rifampicin is comparatively low in children when compared with adults and in order to achieve the desired area under the curve (AUC) in children there is need of 15 mg/kg dose increment. So, it was concluded that the WHO recommendations cannot be achievable with currently licensed FDC regimen for TB. [28]

Similarly, relative bioavailability, by a cross-over study, was assessed in Mexican health care system using 3-FDC in comparison of reference products. The results predicted the inferior bioavailability of rifampicin in 3-FDC and strongly suggested that rifampicin containing FDC should only be used after bioavailability testing. [29] Hence, the efficacy of FDC is contentious due to decline rifampicin bioavailability. [29] Likewise, a pharmacokinetic study was conducted in which 8 volunteers were included. It was concluded that when rifampicin is co-administered with isoniazid, pyrazinamide and ethambutol in FDC then the bioavailability of rifampicin decreases. [31] It was suggested that bioavailability of rifampicin is reduced due to its interaction with isoniazid in acidic pH of gastric media whereas pyrazinamide and ethambutol catalyze this reaction. [32] and this problem can be subside by formulating multiparticulate FDC which separately deliver isoniazid and rifampicin. [33] Therefore, bioavailability testing of FDC must be performed to avoid spurious formulations by using strict criteria and it should be within range of 90-111%.

Post-prandial absorption

To foreclose and palliate the gastrointestinal related adverse drug reactions (ADR) of anti-TB drugs, patients are directed to take drug with juice or food. [31] But, in a study, Cmax of isoniazid and rifampicin was significantly decline in the presence of food while pyrazinamide and ethambutol were unaffected. [34] The possible reason might be that food increases the gastric emptying time by decreasing motility of stomach. But dissolution and disintegration profile affects absorption in such a manner that if the release rate of formulation is >85% in 10 minutes then fatty food will not have any impact on bioavailability. [35] An open-label, randomized, cross-over study was conducted in Taiwan which included 16 TB patients and provided them with FDC against TB under DOTS, in order to evaluate the impact of food on pharmacokinetics of FDC and relation between drug concentration and pharmacogenetics. It was concluded that post-prandial serum drug concentration is relatively low as compared to pre-prandial unless dose greater than minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) is administered. Hence, lower drug concentration leads to treatment failure, so it is recommended to carry out trials for investigating effect of food on patients (having other co-morbidities or not) taking anti-TB drugs and effect of release rate of anti-TB FDC on absorption in presence of food.

Quality of product

Poor quality of product gives poor dissolution profile and lower bioavailability. Research data suggest that besides intrinsic factors like absorption there are such extrinsic factors like formulation or bulk material which has significant role in decreasing bioavailability of rifampicin. [35] Similarly, hygroscopic nature of blisters can also deteriorate product quality. Sometimes dissolution test for rifampicin in FDC gives satisfactory result but unable to give compatible bioavailability. It is evident that poor quality of raw material and inadequate manufacturing procedure result in decrease bioavailability of rifampicin in FDC. [36] Suspending agent is of key concern when extemporaneous suspension is formed from powder FDC. If poor powder flow properties and sedimentation is associated with suspending agent then it results in poor dissolution profile. [37] Hence, it is recommended that quality during pre and post formulation of FDC must be ensured and only quality certified active ingredients and excipients should be used.

Need of therapeutic drug monitoring

Therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM) is a standard technique in which plasma concentration is measured to evaluate correct dose for a particular patient. But, in all cases merely plasma concentration doesn’t justify patient’s response and treatment outcomes particularly for TB until or unless combine with clinical evaluation and bacteriological data. [38] It was revealed that FDC doesn’t lessen the need of TDM. If the patient is suspected of lower plasma concentration then it will evoke the need of TDM. But higher toxicity risk must be kept in mind in case of pyrazinamide. As it is not feasible to perform TDM on every patient, studies suggested to perform TDM for patient with slow sputum conversion, those having high risk of co-morbidities and drug-drug interactions. [39] Emphasis was made on need of TDM of rifampicin as it shows concentration dependent killing of causative agent of TB, therefore, it’s low level may cause failure of therapy or resistance or delay response. [40]
Effect of co-morbidities on absorption

There are several co-morbidities like diabetes mellitus (DM), HIV infection, etc. that are associated with TB. The TB is thought to occur 17 times more in patients suffering from HIV. Serum concentration of anti-TB FDC drugs are significantly lower in HIV/TB co-infected patients. In a study uncomprehensive results of lower absorption of isoniazid and rifampicin were observed in HIV/TB co-infected patients of Kampala, Uganda. If the CD4 cell count is <200/mm³ in HIV patient then absorption of FDC decreased significantly. Simultaneous disease states cause the slow response of patients towards therapy. It is evident that if patient have symptoms of acquired immune deficiency syndrome (AIDS) then poor absorption of anti-TB drugs occur.

Treatment regimen for TB is same in both HIV positive and HIV negative patients. But HIV causes poor treatment outcomes of anti-TB drugs. Since this virus impairs immune system of the host therefore adverse drug reactions (ADRs) due to anti-TB drugs are more common where there is an increase prevalence of HIV and also in a retrospective study severe ADRs were seen in patients having low CD4 cell count (130 and 259 cells/µL). A cross-sectional study was conducted at Gondar University Hospital, Northwest Ethiopia revealed that besides ADRs, treatment outcome was also found lower with current WHO prescribed regimen in HIV/TB co-infection. It is recommended that frequent HIV diagnostic tests must be performed for newly diagnosed TB patients in high HIV/TB burden areas and protocols for good treatment outcome must be designed. Furthermore, TDM is necessary to monitor low serum drug concentration as well as malabsorption and, if needed, therapy must be switched towards higher doses.

Altered level of liver enzymes

When FDC compared with SF then elevation of several liver enzymes was reported in FDC group, like aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT) along with total bilirubin (T-bl) which may lead to asymptomatic jaundice and drug induced hepatitis. An open ended, prospective and randomized trial was carried out in E-DA teaching hospital of Taiwan from October 2008 to November 2009. Patients of ≥ 18 years of age were included and exclusion criteria was based on abnormal baseline functioning of liver, life expectancy of <6 months and received anti-TB regimen in past. Candidates were randomly placed in FDC and SF groups. To observe response of therapy WHO guidelines were followed. Hence, comparison of FDC with SF demonstrated that ALT, AST and T-bl level is significantly high.

Hematology related side effects

Hematology related side effects are more common with FDC of anti-TB drugs because doses in FDC are different from that of SF. These side effects include; urticaria, thrombocytopenia and leucopenia, etc. One such retrospective study was conducted in which a total of 560 patients were divided into two groups. Group 1 received FDC for 2 months of TB treatment and group 2 received SF during the treatment. Urticaria, thrombocytopenia and leucopenia were more in patients treated with FDC due to significant difference in isoniazid and rifampicin in both groups. Similarly, various other studies also reported hematologic side effects like urticaria with FDC. It is recommended that health care providers must educate the patients about the possible side effects of the therapy and patients should seek medical guidance immediately in such situations.

Need of direct observed therapy

DOTS means that patient intakes medicines in the presence of supervisor which is usually health care provider, for at least two months of the therapy. Risk of poor adherence is there if DOTS is not followed because poor adherence of patient towards medicine is the result when patient is careless or forgets to take medicine, when patient feels better or worst then he stops taking medicine. FDC improves patient adherence towards treatment by the introduction of patient’s kits but evidences supports the fact that FDC doesn’t lessen the need of DOTS. Therefore, several potential advantages of FDC cannot be achieved without following DOTS. Hence, it is recommended that DOTS must be followed in patients with newly diagnosed TB and default cases.

Resistance

Wrong time medication error can be the cause of resistance in such a way that when the FDC is taken on irregular interval of time then chances of resistance becomes several folds. CDC, in the guidelines of 2013, recommends that there is an increased risk of rifampicin resistance if rifampicin containing regimen is administered once, twice, or thrice weekly in patients with advanced HIV (CD4 cell count <100 cells/mm³) and in case of high load of Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Therefore, anti-TB drugs especially rifampicin must be administered 5 to 7 days per week in HIV/TB co-infection for first 2 months of treatment. Like SF, chances of resistance are still there if FDC are given without any supervision and poor quality of FDC leads to multi-drug resistance TB (MDR-TB). If FDC is used occasionally then it might be the cause of resistance. If DOTS is adopted then chances of drug resistance can be minimized. Hence, it is recommended to follow proper DOTS with standard quality FDC.

Relapse rate

Relapse or recurrence occurs in patients who are unresponsive towards the anti-TB drugs. One of the major issues is that relapse rate doesn’t minify with FDC. Probably poor bioavailability of drugs and poor clinical practices can be the possible reasons. One such retrospective study was conducted in the Department of Pneumology la Rabta to check the efficacy, tolerance and relapse between FDC and SF in patients of pulmonary tuberculosis with first attack. It was concluded that there was no significant difference between two groups in terms of relapse rate. But a contradicted result was shown in a systematic review that there was more chances of relapse with FDC than the SF because of poor bioavailability of drugs in FDC. Similarly, two studies gave the same result of high relapse rate and treatment failure with FDC. Therefore, there is a need to improve clinical malpractice in high TB burden areas along with bioavailability issues.
Non-superiority of fixed dose combination

A meta-analysis provided evidences that there is no significant difference in treatment with FDC over SF.[42] Factors like poor quality of rifampicin and quantity of isoniazid make FDC non-superior[66] but it’s potential advantages [Box 1] make it non-inferior than SF. A randomized controlled trial[14] was conducted in which register of Cochrane Infectious Disease Group Specialized Register, Medline, Embase, Lilacaps, the metaRegister of Controlled Trials and the World Health Organization International Clinical Trials Registry Platform (WHO-ICTRP) was searched till 20th November 2015 without language barrier and it was found that there is no significant difference between FDC and SF with respect to acceptability, safety and efficacy. Likewise, difference in cure rate was also determined between these two regimens.[66] Similarly, two systematic reviews were carried to demonstrate treatment outcomes between FDC and SF, but no improved treatment outcome with FDC was obtained.[65,70] As FDC is neither superior nor inferior so, clinical trials on large scale must be conducted to prove or nullify this fact.

Patient with higher body mass index

Over-weight and obesity is prevailing globally especially in poor socio-economic regions where the risk of TB is also very high.[71] Dose individualization is difficult with FDC[22] as the drug metabolism is altered with increased body mass index (BMI) or obesity. In a study[72] a case was reported of 36 years old Algerian man who was 92 kg of weight with a BMI of 28.4 kg/m², as he was diagnosed with positive for Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex, he was prescribed with FDC of isoniazid, rifampicin and pyrazinamide (6 tablets daily), latter on he was diagnosed with Mycobacterium bovis. As Mycobacterium bovis is resistant to pyrazinamide, so prescriber switched to isoniazid and rifampicin containing FDC of maximum prescribed dose. After 3 months of treatment patient didn’t get better. Due to poor clinical outcome, when TDM was conducted then it was found that there is sub-therapeutic concentrations of drugs at this regimen. So, the need of higher doses with single dose regimen with TDM is justified and is recommendable, otherwise poor clinical outcome may leads to therapy failure.

Preference of single formulation over fixed dose combination

In certain conditions, when there is the need of dose adjustment then FDC proves to be an untrammeled.[13] SF is also preferred when ADR arise or LFTs elevate with FDC. Therefore, it is recommended to keep limited stock of SF along with the procurement of FDC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Advantages related to fixed dose combination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Reduction in pill burden. [14]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Ease in dispensing. [19]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Simple pharmaceutical management. [21]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Improve adherence and lowers default rate. [21]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Minimum prescription error. [52]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. 50% reduction in cost of therapy. [21,24]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Simple treatment plan. [21]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Several logistical advantages like ordering, planning and management of drugs. [21]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disadvantages associated with fixed dose combination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Altered pharmacokinetics of rifampicin.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Altered post prandial absorption.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Consequences of quality on success rate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Prerequisite of TDM.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Effect of co-morbidities on absorption.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Worse treatment outcome in HIV/TB.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Abnormal liver function tests.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Hematologic side effects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Undeniable requisition of DOTS.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Non-superiority of FDC over SF.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Necessity of SF.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Troublesome dose adjustment.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Limitations

Due to lack of data our review couldn’t access the logical reasons of pharmacokinetic variability of FDC in patients having TB/HIV, TB/DM or any other co-morbidities. Similarly, relation of immunity suppression and lower absorption of rifampicin in FDC couldn’t be justified.

CONCLUSIONS

There are several advantages [Box 2] associated with FDC, on the basis of which WHO justified the need of it in TB treatment, cannot be denied. It is concluded that slow response of therapy, treatment failure, higher ADRs and development of MDR-TB or XDR-TB can occur with FDC because of poor absorption, poor bioavailability, suppressed immunity, inferior quality of drug and post-prandial drug administration, etc. So, in comparison with SF it is found that FDC is neither superior nor inferior. Therefore, it can’t takeover SF completely especially in chronic diseases like TB and limited stock of SF anti-TB drugs must be available all the time in patient-care settings.
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